

Welcome to the tenth year of Big Sky Debate! These three volumes represent our efforts to combine the best of research oriented handbooks and teaching tools in the same product. Our materials are guaranteed to be cut for this topic, in the months and days before the season begins, guaranteeing that you will have the most relevant, most thought-provoking research available on the topic. Whether it is for education, competition, or both, we believe that Big Sky Briefs will benefit your program, and we appreciate your support.

The role of handbooks is not without controversy. Some coaches feel that the material limits student exploration and discovery, while others contend that handbook evidence is rarely useful. In our view, the best debate handbooks open doors for students, by providing source material, useful models, and fodder for those early practice rounds at the start of the season. Novice debaters can find the material helpful as they struggle with terms and concepts, and more experienced debaters can use handbooks to fill in research gaps as they acquaint themselves with the topic. No one should expect any debate handbook to carry them through a season of competition—one of the critical skills that debaters must acquire is independent research, and an effective handbook will facilitate and encourage that.

Our goal is to be the most user-friendly and responsive publishers of debate handbooks. Please feel free to contact us with questions, complaints, even praise! Our web site, <http://www.bigskydebate.com> will continue to feature information about the topic all season, research resources, and forums for discussion—for both coaches and students. Please feel free to drop Don (dpogreba@bigskydebate.com) or Jason (jason@bigskydebate.com) an e-mail at any time.

Many thanks to the people who helped make this project possible. Our summer staffer Rachael Green made invaluable contributions. Finally, the biggest thanks go out to you, the customers who have chosen (once again) to purchase Big Sky Debate materials.

Enjoy the books and good luck to all of you this season! Thanks for being a part of something that is very exciting for us.
-- Pogie and Norm

Big Sky Debate

P.O. Box #7031

Helena, Montana 59604

(406) 285-1087

This compilation is Copyright, 2010, Big Sky Debate Publishers, All Rights Reserved. Unauthorized duplication of these materials is prohibited, with the exception of the following “fair use” stipulations:

- You may make unlimited copies of the material included in these books for use within your own school/debate team. This provision does not entitle you to share materials with other teams, schools, or individuals outside your team.
- You may make unlimited copies of evidence in this handbook as part of arguments or briefs you produce, as long as your versions significantly differ from the original and contain significant evidence and arguments from other resources.
- If you have any questions about fair use, bulk purchase, or anything else, please contact us at orders@bigskydebate.com or by telephone at (406) 285-1087.

Table of Contents

AFGHANISTAN NEGATIVE 5

A HARD TIMELINE MUST BE REJECTED 5

AFGHANISTAN IS WINNABLE 10

COUNTER-INSURGENCY CAN SUCCEED 13

THE SURGE IN AFGHANISTAN WILL SOLVE..... 15

US MUST COMMIT TO LONG-TERM ACTION..... 16

US WITHDRAWAL=COLLAPSE OF AFGHANISTAN 19

US WITHDRAWAL= SAFE HAVEN FOR TERRORISM 21

US CANNOT ABANDON WOMEN OF AFGHANISTAN 24

US WITHDRAWAL=RADICALIZED PAKISTAN..... 26

RADICALIZED PAKISTAN VERY DANGEROUS 28

PREDATOR/DRONE FOCUS GOOD 32

PREDATOR/DRONE FOCUS (COUNTER-TERRORISM) BAD 35

DRONES (UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES) NEGATIVE..... 39

DRONES ARE NOT PRIMARILY MILITARY 39

CIA DRONE PROGRAM IS THE REAL DANGER 40

DRONES ARE CRITICAL AGAINST TERRORISTS..... 43

DRONES DO NOT INFLICT EXCESSIVE CIVILIAN CASUALTIES..... 50

DRONES WILL NOT PROLIFERATE BECAUSE OF US ACTION..... 52

DRONES ARE NOT AND DO NOT ENCOURAGE ASSASSINATION/TARGETED KILLING 53

TARGETED KILLING DOES NOT VIOLATE THE LAW 55

KOREA NEGATIVE 57

AMERICAN MILITARY IS CRITICAL TO SOUTH KOREAN SECURITY..... 57

AMERICAN MILITARY PRESENCE CRITICAL TO REGIONAL SECURITY 60

AMERICAN MILITARY CHECKS NORTH KOREA..... 62

NORTH KOREA IS A THREAT TO SOUTH KOREA 64

NORTH KOREA IS A WMD THREAT 65

AMERICAN MILITARY PRESENCE CHECKS CHINA 68

AMERICAN MILITARY POWER PROJECTION/REGIONAL POWER 69

ABANDONING SOUTH KOREA WILL MILITARIZE JAPAN 70

SOUTH KOREA WILL GO NUCLEAR 71

SOUTH KOREA WANTS A CONTINUED AMERICAN PRESENCE..... 74

SOUTH KOREA FEARS ABANDONMENT 75

THE US-ROK ALLIANCE IS STRONG NOW 76

A/T: TALKS SOLVE BETTER THAN US MILITARY..... 78

US MUST CONSULT WITH SOUTH KOREA..... 79

JAPAN NEGATIVE..... 80

JAPAN WANTS TO MAINTAIN AMERICAN MILITARY PRESENCE/ALLIANCE..... 80

JAPANESE SUPPORT FOR THE ALLIANCE IS WEAKENING..... 82

US MILITARY CRITICAL FOR JAPANESE SECURITY 83

US MILITARY CRITICAL FOR REGIONAL SECURITY 86

US MILITARY CRITICAL FOR POWER PROJECTION 90

US MILITARY IN JAPAN CHECKS NORTH KOREA 91

AMERICAN MILITARY PRESENCE CHECKS CHINESE POWER 92

AMERICAN MILITARY PRESENCE CHECKS JAPANESE MILITARISM 95

AUTONOMOUS JAPANESE MILITARISM MAKES THE REGION LESS STABLE 96

JAPANESE MILITARY DEVELOPMENT POSITIVE UNDER US ALLIANCE 97

JAPAN’S MILITARY IS ALREADY GROWING IN SIZE AND ROLE 98

JAPAN HAS ABANDONMENT FEAR..... 99

THE ALLIANCE IS BAD 100

SOFA/LEGAL STATUS OF AMERICAN SOLDIERS..... 102

A/T: FUTENMA BLOCKS RELATIONS 103

NO FIRST USE NEGATIVE..... 104

FIRST USE POLICY CRITICAL FOR DETERRENCE 104

NO FIRST USE POLICY UNDERMINES AMERICAN SECURITY 106

JAPAN WILL GO NUCLEAR 109

JAPANESE SECURITY DEPENDS ON CALCULATED AMBIGUITY..... 110

A/T JAPAN WANTS NO FIRST USE..... 112

SOUTH KOREA OPPOSES NO FIRST USE POLICY..... 114

DETERRENCE WORKS AGAINST NORTH KOREA 115

IRAQ NEGATIVE 118

INSURGENTS ARE STILL A THREAT IN IRAQ..... 118

IRAQI SECURITY FORCES CANNOT CONTROL INSURGENTS/DEFEND IRAQ 119

US TROOPS MUST REMAIN AFTER THE WITHDRAWAL DATE 122

IRAQ IS STILL INCREDIBLY UNSTABLE 124

US WITHDRAWAL=CIVIL WAR..... 126

US WITHDRAWAL=AMERICAN WEAKNESS 134

US WITHDRAWAL=COUP 136

US WITHDRAWAL=NUCLEAR IRAN 138

NUCLEAR UMBRELLA NEGATIVE 139

US MUST HAVE A CLEAR POLICY ABOUT NUCLEAR UMBRELLA..... 139

NUCLEAR UMBRELLA CONTROLS PROLIF..... 140

JAPAN WILL GO NUCLEAR 145

JAPANESE SECURITY DEPENDS ON NUCLEAR UMBRELLA 150

NUCLEAR UMBRELLA CRITICAL TO JAPANESE PERCEPTIONS 152

JAPANESE NUCLEAR WEAPONS ARE DESTABILIZING 153

NUCLEAR UMBRELLA KEY TO ALLIANCE WITH SOUTH KOREA 154

SOUTH KOREA WILL PROLIF WITHOUT NUCLEAR UMBRELLA..... 157

NUCLEAR UMBRELLA DETERS NORTH KOREA..... 158

SOUTH KOREA WANTS A CLEAR UMBRELLA POLICY 163

A/T NORTH KOREAN TALKS SOLVE..... 165

A/T UNDERMINES GLOBAL NUCLEAR DISARMAMENT 166

OKINAWA NEGATIVE	167
OKINAWA WILL NOT DERAIL THE US-JAPANESE ALLIANCE	167
JAPANESE PUBLIC SUPPORTS OKINAWA BASES	169
OKINAWA IS CRITICAL TO REGIONAL SECURITY	170
OKINAWA IS ESSENTIAL TO HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE	176
OKINAWA IS KEY TO AMERICAN POWER PROJECTION.....	177
LOSS OF OKINAWA SNOWBALLS.....	178
GUAM IS NOT AN ACCEPTABLE ALTERNATIVE	180
DUGONGS ANSWERS	182
PRIVATE MILITARY CONTRACTORS NEGATIVE	183
TOPICALITY: PMCS ARE NOT MILITARY PRESENCE	183
TOPICALITY: PMCS ARE NOT JUST AMERICAN/DOD	186
PMCS DO NOT VIOLATE RIGHTS/ABUSE CITIZENS.....	187
THE US MILITARY CANNOT FUNCTION WITHOUT PMCS.....	189
PMCS ARE CRITICAL FOR IRAQ AND AFGHANISTAN	193
PMCS PROVIDE ESSENTIAL SECURITY FUNCTIONS.....	195
PMCS ARE EFFECTIVE AT COUNTER INSURGENCY.....	197
PMCS DO NOT UNDERMINE MORALE/TROOP RETENTION.....	198
PMCS ARE COST EFFECTIVE.....	201
PMCS DO NOT UNDERMINE STRATEGY/NATIONAL SECURITY.....	203
MILITARY/PRIVATE ROLES CANNOT BE DISTINGUISHED.....	205
TURKEY TACTICAL NUKES NEGATIVE.....	206
INHERENCY: US WILL SEEK TO PULL TACTICAL NUKES IN COMING TALKS.....	206
HARMS: TURKEY WANTS NUKES TO REMAIN.....	209
HARMS: US TACTICAL NUKES HAVE NO SAFETY/SECURITY PROBLEMS	210
TURN: REMOVAL OF TACTICAL NUKES DESTROYS NATO	211
TURN: REMOVAL OF TACTICAL NUKES DESTROYS US CREDIBILITY IN EUROPE.....	213
TURN: REMOVAL OF TACTICAL NUKES REMOVES NUCLEAR DETERRENT IN EUROPE	215
TURN: TACTICAL NUKES REQUIRED TO CHECK IRAN	216
TURN: REMOVING TACTICAL NUKES SPARKS PROLIFERATION	217
SOLVENCY: REMOVAL SHOULD BE A SLOW, INCREMENTAL PROCESS.....	218
SOLVENCY: REMOVAL MUST BE COUPLED WITH SECURITY ASSURANCES.....	219
SOLVENCY: REMOVAL WON'T SPARK RUSSIAN CONSOLIDATION OR DISARMAMENT	220