

Table of Contents

LUNAR HELIUM-3 MINING AFFIRMATIVE 5

HELIUM-3 IS RAPIDLY DEPLETING 10

ADVANTAGE 1: PEAK OIL WILL HAVE DEVASTATING IMPACT 14

ADVANTAGE 1: HELIUM-3 IS CLEAN, RENEWABLE ENERGY 16

ADVANTAGE 1: HUGE AMOUNT OF HE-3 ON THE MOON 18

ADVANTAGE 1: SMALL AMOUNT OF HELIUM-3 WILL MEET ENERGY NEEDS 19

ADVANTAGE 1: LUNAR HELIUM-3 CAN REDUCE OUR RELIANCE ON FOSSIL FUELS 21

ADVANTAGE 2: HE-3 SHORTAGE IMPACTS NUCLEAR DETECTION 23

ADVANTAGE 2: HELIUM-3 IS CRITICAL FOR DETECTING NUCLEAR WEAPONS 24

ADVANTAGE 2: NUCLEAR TERRORISM IS A HUGE THREAT 26

ADVANTAGE 3: HELIUM-3 WILL POWER SPACE EXPLORATION/COLONIZATION 28

ADVANTAGE 3: SPACE EXPLORATION CRITICAL FOR HUMAN SURVIVAL 30

ADVANTAGE 4: HELIUM-3 IS CRITICAL FOR MEDICINE/SCIENCE 33

OTHER NATIONS ARE RAPIDLY EXPANDING RACE FOR HELIUM-3 34

COST DETAILS 37

SOLVENCY: US SHOULD LEAD INTERNATIONAL FRAMEWORK 38

SOLVENCY: FUSION WILL WORK 41

SOLVENCY: THERE IS A HUGE ADVANTAGE TO BEING FIRST 43

SOLVENCY: MINING IS FEASIBLE 44

A/T: OTHER MATERIALS 45

A/T: HELIUM-3 WILL BE TOO EXPENSIVE 46

A/T: MOON TREATY/OUTER SPACE TREATY 47

MARS DIRECT AFFIRMATIVE 48

HARMS: US SPACE PROGRAM IS IN STAGNATION 56

HARMS: NASA MINDSET STUCK IN COMPLEX, WASTEFUL MISSIONS 58

HARMS: LOSING FOCUS ON MARS HURTS US SPACE CREDIBILITY 59

INHERENCY: CURRENT NASA MARS VISIONS ARE TOO COMPLEX AND EXPENSIVE 61

INHERENCY: OTHER PATHS TO MARS EXPLORATION STALLED 63

INHERENCY: MARS PROGRAMS AT NASA HAVE BEEN CUT OR DELAYED 64

SOLVENCY: MARS DIRECT TECHNICAL DETAILS 66

SOLVENCY: ZUBRIN GOOD 67

SOLVENCY: MUST ACT NOW ON A MARS MISSION 68

SOLVENCY: MARS DIRECT WOULD TAKE LESS THAN A DECADE TO TOUCHDOWN 70

SOLVENCY: EXISTING FUNDING SOURCES ENOUGH FOR MARS DIRECT 72

SOLVENCY: MARS IS A NECESSARY GOAL FOR NASA 73

SOLVENCY: MARS DIRECT IS LIGHTER 74

SOLVENCY: "LIVING OFF THE LAND" OF MARS DIRECT SOLVES 75

SOLVENCY: MARS DIRECT WILL BE LOW COST 77

SOLVENCY: SMALLER CREW IS PREFERRED 81

ADVANTAGE: MARS MISSION WOULD DRIVE INTEREST IN SCIENCE 82

ADVANTAGE: MARS MINING/EARTH RESOURCE MANAGEMENT 84

ADVANTAGE: COLONIZATION 85

ADVANTAGE: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT 86

A/T: MARS IS TECHNICALLY IMPOSSIBLE 88

A/T: COMMON RISK-BASED OBJECTIONS TO MARS 90

A/T: SHOULD GO ROBOTIC MISSIONS INSTEAD 93

ALTERNATIVE PLAN: ONE-WAY MARS TRIPS 96

PLANETARY DEFENSE AFFIRMATIVE 98

INHERENCY: CONGRESS IS INADEQUATELY FUNDING 104

INHERENCY: NASA ISN'T PRIORITIZING 106

INHERENCY: NOT ENOUGH RESEARCH 108

HARMS: NEOS CAN CAUSE EXTINCTION/MASSIVE IMPACT 109

HARMS: THERE ARE MANY THREATENING NEOS 112

HARMS: EVEN SMALL OBJECTS CAN BE DEVASTATING 113

HARMS: THREATS ARE FREQUENT 115

HARMS: SMALL RISK, BUT ENORMOUS IMPACT 117

HARMS: FAILURE TO ADDRESS WILL UNDERMINE NATION STATE 119

HARMS: APOPHIS 120

SOLVENCY: THE DAVID PLAN 121

SOLVENCY: EARLY DETECTION IS CRITICAL 122

SOLVENCY: DEFLECTION TECHNOLOGY 124

SOLVENCY: GROUND BASED TELESCOPES 125

SOLVENCY: VENUSIAN TELESCOPE 126

SOLVENCY: WE CAN PREVENT EXTINCTION 127

SOLVENCY: KINETIC IMPACTORS 127

SOLVENCY: NUCLEAR WEAPONS 128

SOLVENCY: NASA SHOULD LEAD PLANETARY DEFENSE 130

SOLVENCY: THE US SHOULD LEAD 132

SOLVENCY: TIME TO ACT IS NOW! 135

SOLVENCY: COST 136

UNDERVIEW: MORAL IMPERATIVE 139

A/T: DOD SOLVES 140

A/T: NO INTERCEPTOR TECH 142

A/T: LEGAL QUESTIONS/INTERNATIONAL LAW 144

A/T: EXISTING SURVEYS SOLVE 146

A/T: NUKES/WEAPONS IN SPACE NOT ALLOWED 147

A/T: MORE IMMEDIATE THREATS 149

A/T: CONSISTENT ORBITS 149

SPACE BASED SOLAR POWER AFFIRMATIVE 150

INHERENCY: GOVERNMENT IS NOT INTERESTED/ACTIVE 157

SOLVENCY: SBSP MEETS AMERICAN ENERGY NEEDS 159

SOLVENCY: SBSP WILL WORK 160

SOLVENCY: SBSP CAN BE USED WITH EXISTING ENERGY GRID 162

SOLVENCY: DEMONSTRATION SATELLITE 163

SOLVENCY: GOVERNMENT SUPPORT IS CRITICAL 166

SOLVENCY: ANCHOR CUSTOMER 167

SOLVENCY: TIME TO ACT IS NOW 168

SOLVENCY: OTHER NATIONS WILL DEPLOY SBSP 169

ADVANTAGE 1: SOLAR EXPLORATION 171

ADVANTAGE 2: SBSP WILL PREVENT WARMING 175

ADVANTAGE 2: GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS 178

ADVANTAGE 3: SBSP CAN MAKE DESALINIZATION CHEAPER AND BETTER 181

ADVANTAGE 3: WATER IMPACTS 181

ADVANTAGE 4: SBSP CRITICAL FOR ENERGY PRODUCTION 182

ADVANTAGE 4: MUST TRANSITION TO SUSTAINABLE ENERGY 186

ADVANTAGE 5: SBSP CRITICAL FOR MILITARY POWER PROJECTION 189

ADVANTAGE 5: SBSP WILL REDUCE WAR 191

ADVANTAGE 6: ECONOMY 192

A/T: LAUNCH COSTS 193

A/T: FOSSIL FUELS ARE CHEAPER 195

A/T: FUSION AND RENEWABLES 196

A/T: TECHNOLOGY ISN'T THERE YET 198

A/T: MICROWAVE SAFETY 201

A/T: INTERNATIONAL OBJECTIONS/CPLAN 203

A/T: SBSP AS A WEAPON 204

SPACE DEBRIS AFFIRMATIVE 205

INHERENCY 210

HARMS: DEBRIS PROBLEM IS GROWING..... 211

HARMS: SPACE EXPLORATION ALREADY BEING HURT 212

HARMS: LONG-TERM USE OF SPACE 215

HARMS: FAILURE TO ACT NOW WILL LEAD TO CASCADE 216

HARMS: TIPPING POINT FOR KESSLER EFFECT NOW 218

SOLVENCY: LASERS WORK 220

SOLVENCY: REMOVING FEW OBJECTS ENOUGH 225

SOLVENCY: THE US SHOULD LEAD 226

SOLVENCY: TIME TO ACT IS NOW..... 228

ADVANTAGE 1: DEBRIS BLOCKS SPACE EXPLORATION..... 229

ADVANTAGE 2: MILITARY IS DEPENDENT ON SATELLITES 232

ADVANTAGE 2: AMERICAN NATIONAL SECURITY 233

ADVANTAGE 3: DEBRIS THREATENS ECONOMY 234

ADVANTAGE 3: ECONOMY IMPACT 235

A/T: SPENDING/COST 236

A/T: INTERNATIONAL ACTION..... 238

A/T: PRIVATE COUNTERPLAN..... 239

A/T: BIG SKY THEORY 240

A/T: PREVENTION IS ENOUGH 241

A/T: LASERS=SPACE WEAPONS 242

A/T: LIABILITY CONVENTION 243